Multicenter Assessment of the Accuracy of MIC Results for Piperacillin/Tazobactam with **MicroScan Dried Gram Negative MIC Panels using CLSI Breakpoints**

O.B. Garner¹, A. Harrington², M. Traczewski³, S. DesJarlais², D. Beasley³, R.K. Brookman⁴, J.E. Diaz⁴, C. J. Hastey⁴, and J.Y. Chau⁴ ¹ UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, ² Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, IL, ³ Clinical Microbiology Institute, Wilsonville, OR, and ⁴ Beckman Coulter, West Sacramento, CA

ABSTRACT

Background: MIC data from MicroScan Dried Gram-negative MIC (MSDGN) Panels with piperacillin/tazobactam were evaluated with CLSI M100 ED33 breakpoints for Acinetobacter spp., Enterobacterales, Other-Non-Enterobacterales, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from a multicenter clinical study. MIC results were compared to results obtained with frozen broth microdilution panels prepared according to CLSI methodology.

Material/methods: The study included a total of 681/683 clinical isolates tested using the Prompt and turbidity methods of inoculation during the combined phases of efficacy and challenge. MSDGN panels were evaluated at three clinical sites by comparing MIC values obtained using the MSDGN panels to MICs utilizing a CLSI broth microdilution reference panel. MSDGN panels were incubated at 35 \pm 1°C and read on the WalkAway System, the autoSCAN-4 instrument, and read visually at 16-20 hours. Frozen reference panels were prepared according to CLSI/ISO methodology, incubated for 16-20 hours for Enterobacterales, Other Non-Enterobacterales, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa and for 20-24 hours for Acinetobacter spp. and read visually. CLSI breakpoints (mg/L) used for interpretation of MIC results were: ≤16/4 S, 32/4-64/4 I, ≥128/4 R for Acinetobacter spp. and Other Non-Enterobacterales, ≤8/4 S, 16/4 SDD, ≥32/4 R for Enterobacterales, and ≤16/4 S, 32/4 I, >64/4 R for Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Results: When compared to frozen reference panel results, essential and categorical agreements for all isolates tested in efficacy and challenge are as follows (AS-4 read method yielded similar results) with the following recommendation: Due to elevated major error rates for S. marcescens and piperacillin/tazobactam with WalkAway read method with Prompt inoculation, results should be confirmed by a manual read prior to reporting. Due to the performance with P. rettgeri, S. liquefaciens, and S. liquefaciens complex with all read and inoculation methods, do not report drug, therapy, or MIC.

Organism	ganism Prompt Essential Agreement %			ategorical nent %	Prompt Error		Prompt Very Major Errors %		
Gloup	WalkAway	Manual	WalkAway	Manual	WalkAway	Manual	WalkAway	Manual	
Acinetobacter spp.	96.0	96.0	96.0	100	0	0	0	0	
	(48/50)	(48/50)	(48/50)	(50/50)	(0/33)	(0/33)	(0/15)	(0/15)	
Enterobacterales	93.4	96.5	92.5	95.6	3.8	0.4	1.3	1.3	
	(510/546)	(527/546)	(505/546)	(522/546)	(17/452)	(2/452)	(1/75)	(1/75)	
Other Non- Enterobacterales	100 (15/15)	100 (15/15)	100 (15/15)	100 (15/15)	0 (0/14)	0 (0/14)			
Pseudomonas	92.9	94.3	95.7	95.7	4.1	2.0	0	0	
aeruginosa	(65/70)	(66/70)	(67/70)	(67/70)	(2/49)	(1/49)	(0/19)	(0/19)	
All Organisms	93.7	96.3	93.3	96.0	3.5	0.6	0.9	0.9	
	(638/681)	(656/681)	(635/681)	(654/681)	(19/548)	(3/548)	(1/109)	(1/109)	

Conclusions: Piperacillin/tazobactam MIC results for gram-negative clinical isolates obtained with the MSDGN panel correlate well with MICs obtained using frozen reference panels with updated CLSI interpretive criteria in this multicenter study.

INTRODUCTION

A multicenter study was performed to evaluate the performance of a MicroScan Dried Gram Negative MIC panel with piperacillin/tazobactam using Acinetobacter spp., Enterobacterales, Other Non-Enterobacterales, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates with CLSI interpretive breakpoints.

METHODS

Study Design: MSDGN MIC panels were tested concurrently with a CLSI frozen broth microdilution reference panel at three sites on a total of 681/683 Acinetobacter spp., Enterobacterales, Other Non-Enterobacterales, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical isolates.

METHODS (Continued)

Quality Control Expected Results (CLSI M100 ED33)

Escherichia coli ATCC 25922: 1/4 - 8/4 ug/ml Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853: 1/4 - 8/4 µg/ml Escherichia coli ATCC 35218: 0.5/4 – 2/4 µg/ml Panels

•Frozen reference and MSDGN MIC panels contained two-fold doubling dilutions of piperacillin/tazobactam 8/4-256/4 µg/ml in cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth. Reference panels were prepared and frozen following CLSI/ISO recommendations.

Reproducibility

•Reproducibility organisms with known results on-scale for piperacillin/tazobactam were tested in triplicate (for each inoculation method) on the MSDGN MIC panels and singly on the frozen reference panel on three different days at each site.

•MSDGN MIC panels were tested using both the turbidity and Prompt inoculation methods and read on the WalkAway system, autoSCAN-4 instrument, and visually.

Quality Control

•Quality control (QC) testing was performed daily using ATCC 25922 Escherichia coli, ATCC 27853 Pseudomonas aeruginosa, ATCC 35218 Escherichia coli with CLSI QC ranges.

Panel Inoculation, Incubation, and Reading

•All isolates were subcultured into trypticase soy agar (TSA) with 5% sheep blood and incubated for 18-24 hours at 35-37°C prior to testing. Isolates from frozen stocks were subcultured twice before testing.

•Inoculum suspensions for each strain were prepared with the direct standardization (turbidity standard) method for MSDGN MIC and frozen reference panels. MSDGN MIC panels were also inoculated using the Prompt Inoculation method.

•Following inoculation, MSDGN MIC panels were also incubated at $35\pm1^{\circ}$ C in the WalkAway system for 18 ± 2 hours. All panels were read by the WalkAway, autoSCAN-4 and visually.

Data Analysis

•Essential Agreement (EA) = MSDGN panel MIC within +/- 1 dilution of the frozen reference result MIC.

•Categorical Agreement (CA) = MSDGN panel and reference categorical results (S, I/SDD, and R) agree using CLSI M100 ED33 breakpoints.

Table 1. Piperacillin/Tazobactam CLSI Breakpoints (µg/ml) (CI SI M100 ED33)

(OLOT MITOO LDOO)			
Organism Group	S	I/SDD	R
Aeromonas spp.	≤ 16/4	32/4-64/4	≥ 128/4
Acinetobacter spp.	≤ 16/4	32/4-64/4	≥ 128/4
Enterobacterales	≤ 8/4	16/4 (SDD)	≥ 32/4
Other Non-Enterobacterales	≤ 16/4	32/4-64/4	≥ 128/4
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	≤ 16/4	32/4	≥ 64/4
Vibrio Spp.	≤ 16/4	32/4-64/4	≥ 128/4

•Major Errors = Frozen reference MIC is S and MSDGN panel MIC is R; calculated for susceptible strains only. No. Major Errors

% Maior Errors = Total No. S Isolates tested

•Very Major Errors = Frozen reference is R and MSDGN panel MIC is S; calculated for resistant strains only. No. Very Major Errors

% Very Major Errors = - X 100

Total No. Isolates tested

•Minor Errors = Frozen reference is S or R when MSDGN panel MIC is I or MSDGP panel MIC is S or R when frozen reference is I; calculated for all isolates tested. No. Minor Errors

% Minor Errors =

microdilution frozen reference panel for susceptibility testing of piperacillin/tazobactam and Acinetobacter spp., Enterobacterales, Other Non-Enterobacterales, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a multicenter study using CLSI M100 ED33 interpretive criteria. Pending submission and clearance by the United States Food and Drug Administration; not yet available for in vitro diagnostic use in the US. For Investigational Use Only. The performance characteristics of this product have not been established. © 2023 Beckman Coulter, Inc. All rights reserved. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners. Beckman Coulter, the stylized logo, and the Beckman Coulter product and service marks mentioned herein are trademarks or registered trademarks of Beckman Coulter, Inc. in the United States and other countries. 2023-11637

RESULTS

Efficacy (Tables 2 and 3)

•A total of 683 Acinetobacter spp., Enterobacterales, Other Non-Enterobacterales, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical isolates were tested among three sites. MSDGN panels were inoculated using the turbidity inoculation method. Essential Agreement for all isolates combined between MSDGN panel and frozen reference panel was 98.0% (669/683) for manual read method, 96.1% (656/683) for WalkAway System, 96.6% (660/683) for autoSCAN-4 instrument using the turbidity inoculation method.

•Categorical Agreement for all isolates combined between MSDGN panel and frozen reference panel was 95.3% (651/683) for manual read method, 94.1% (643/683) for WalkAway System, 94.4% (645/683) for autoSCAN-4 instrument using the turbidity inoculation method.

Table 2. Efficacy – Turbidity Inoculation Method

	Essential Agreement		Categorical Agreement		Minor Errors		Major Errors		Very Major Errors	
Read Method	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Manual	669/683	98.0	651/683	95.3	30/683	4.4	0/550	0.0	2/109	1.8
WalkAway	656/683	96.1	643/683	94.1	35/683	5.1	3/550	0.6	2/109	1.8
autoSCAN-4	660/683	96.6	645/683	94.4	35/683	5.1	1/550	0.2	2/109	1.8

•A total of 681 Acinetobacter spp., Enterobacterales, Other Non-Enterobacterales, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical isolates were tested among three sites. MSDGN panels were inoculated using the Prompt inoculation method. Differences in Prompt and turbidity totals tested are due to smaller, pin-point colonies not suitable for Prompt inoculation per instructions in the Prompt procedural manual.

•Essential Agreement for all isolates combined between MSDGN panel and frozen reference panel was 96.3% (656/681) for manual read method, 93.7% (638/681) for WalkAway System, 95.9% (653/681) for autoSCAN-4 instrument using the Prompt inoculation method.

•Categorical Agreement for all isolates combined between MSDGN panel and frozen reference panel was 96.0% (654/681) for manual read method. 93.3% (635/681) for WalkAway System. 95.3% (649/681) for autoScan-4 instrument using the Prompt inoculation method.

Table 3. Efficacy – Prompt Inoculation Method

Table 3. Efficacy – Prompt Inoculation Method												ſ	P. ae
	Es	sentia		Catego	rical	Mine	or	Ма	jor	Very N	lajor		ATC
	Agr	eemer	nt	Agreer	nent	Erro	rs	Err	ors	Erro	ors	ſ	l
Read Meth	od No). 9	6	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		ATC
Manual	656/6	681 96	.3	654/681	96.0	23/681	3.4	3/548	0.6	1/109	0.9	т	able
WalkAwa	y 638/6	581 93	.7	635/681	93.3	26/681	3.8	19/548	3.5	1/109	0.9	i	
autoSCAN	I-4 653/6	681 95	.9	649/681	95.3	28/681	4.1	3/548	0.6	1/109	0.9		Org

Performance issues with *P. rettgeri*, *S. liquefaciens* and *S. liquefaciens* complex resulted in decisions to not report the drug, therapy, or MIC. Results for S. marcescens using WalkAway read method with Prompt inoculation should be confirmed by a manual read prior to reporting due to elevated major error rates.



CONCLUSION

- X 100

X 100

Reproducibility (Tables 4 & 5)

•Overall agreement (within \pm two-fold dilution) between all sites for the reproducibility phase was \geq 95% for all combinations.

Table 4. Reproducibility Best Case - All Sites combined

Reproducibility Best oase – All offes combilied							
Read Method	Inoculation	No. (%) Agreement Best Case					
	Method	All Sites Combined					
Manual		262/270 (97.0)					
WalkAway	Turbidity	258/270 (95.6)					
autoSCAN-4		258/270 (95.6)					
Manual		260/270 (96.3)					
WalkAway	Prompt	263/270 (97.4)					
autoSCAN-4	_	263/270 (97.4)					

Table 5. Reproducibility Worst Case - All Sites combined

Reproducibility Worst Case – All Sites combined							
	Inoculation	No. (%) Agreement					
Read Method	Method	Worst Case					
	Wiethou	All Sites Combined					
Manual	Turbidity	262/270 (97.0)					
WalkAway		258/270 (95.6)					
autoSCAN-4		258/270 (95.6)					
Manual		260/270 (96.3)					
WalkAway	Prompt	263/270 (97.4)					
autoSCAN-4		263/270 (97.4)					

Quality Control (Tables 6 and 7)

Overall quality control results were >95% for each read and inoculation method on the dried test panel for ATCC 25922 E. coli, ATCC 27853 P. aeruginosa and ATCC 35218 E. coli. Quality control results were >95% for the frozen reference panel, which were read manually with turbidity inoculation method. The number of replicates and percentage within range are indicated in Tables 6, 7, and 8. Variations in total number tested for each read method are due to technical error elimination.

Table 6. Quality Control – Dried Test Results

		Percent (%) in Range								
0	QC Range	Mar	ual	WalkAway		autoSC	CAN-4			
Organism	(µg/mL)	Turbidity	Prompt	Turbidity	Prompt	Turbidity	Prompt			
E. coli	1/4 – 8/4	98/98	98/98	98/98	98/98	98/98	98/98			
ATCC 25922	1/4 – 8/4	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
P. aeruginosa	4/4 0/4	95/98	95/97	95/98	95/97	96/98	95/97			
ATCC 27853	1/4 – 8/4	96.9%	97.9%	96.9%	97.9%	98.0%	97.9%			
E. coli	0.514	98/98	96/96	98/98	96/96	98/98	96/96			
ATCC 35218	0.5/4 – 2/4	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			

Table 7. Quality Control – Frozen Reference Results

	QC Range	Manual	Quality control performance of piperacillin						
Organism	(µg/mL)	Turbidity	intogrity of E coli ATCC 35218 at all ci						
E. coli	1/4 – 8/4	100%	integrity of <i>E. coli</i> ATCC 35218 at all sites.						
ATCC 25922	(frozen)	(98/98)	Table 8. QC Performance with Piperacillin						
P. aeruginosa	1/4 – 8/4	98.0%	Ormaniam	QC Range	Manual	1			
ATCC 27853	(frozen)	(96/98)	Organism	(µg/mL)	Turbidity				
E. coli	0.5/4 – 2/4	100%	E. coli	> 256	100%				
ATCC 35218	(frozen)	(98/98)	ATCC 35218	(frozen)	(98/98)				

There is a strong correlation between the MIC results obtained using MicroScan Dried Gram Negative panel and MICs obtained using a CLSI broth